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(The figures, tables and formulas in this publication may at times be a little difficult to read, but they are the best available. DO NOT PURCHASE THIS PUBLICATION IF THIS LIMITATION IS NOT ACCEPTABLE TO YOU.)
1. **GENERAL.** A deep foundation derives its support from competent strata at significant depths below the surface or, alternatively, has a depth to diameter ratio greater than 4. A deep foundation is used in lieu of a shallow foundation when adequate bearing capacity or tolerable settlements cannot be obtained with a shallow foundation. The term deep foundation includes piles, piers, or caissons, as well as footings or mats set into a deep excavation. This chapter discusses problems of placing footings and mats in deep excavations and design of drilled piers. Drilled piers (or caissons) are simply large-diameter piles, but the design process is somewhat different. An arbitrary distinction between a caisson and pier is that the caisson is 30 inches or more in diameter.
2. FLOATING FOUNDATIONS. A foundation set into a deep excavation is said to be compensated or floating if the building load is significantly offset by the load of soil removed during excavation. The foundation is fully compensated if the structural load equals the load removed by excavation, partially compensated if the structural load is greater, and overcompensated if the structural load is less than the weight of the excavated soil. A compensated foundation requires a study of expected subsoil rebound and settlement, excavation support systems, means to maintain foundation subsoil or rock integrity during excavation, and allowable bearing pressures for the soil or rock.
3. SETTLEMENTS OF COMPENSATED FOUNDATIONS.

3.1 THE SEQUENCE OF SUBSOIL HEAVE during excavation and subsequent settlement of a deep foundation is illustrated in figure 1(a). If effective stresses do not change in the subsoils upon the initial excavation, i.e., the soil does not swell due to an increase in water content, and if no plastic flow occurs, then only immediate or elastic rebound from change in stress occurs. If the structural load is fully compensated, the measured settlement of the foundation would consist only of recompression of the elastic rebound, generally a small quantity, provided subsoils are not disturbed by excavation.

3.2 IF THE NEGATIVE EXCESS PORE PRESSURES set up during excavation "dissipate," i.e., approach static values, before sufficient structural load is applied, foundation swell occurs in addition to elastic rebound. (The original effective stresses will decrease.) The foundation load recompresses the soil, and settlement of the foundation consists of elastic and consolidation components as shown in figure 1(b). Consolidation occurs along the recompression curve until the preconsolidation stress is reached, whereupon it proceeds along the virgin compression curve. Calculate the foundation heave and subsequent settlement using procedures to be found in the technical literature.

3.3 IF THE DEPTH with respect to the type and shear strength of the soil is such that plastic flow occurs, loss of ground may develop around the outside of the excavation with possible settlement damage to structures, roads, and underground utilities.

3.4 THE RATE AND AMOUNT OF HEAVE may be estimated from the results of one-dimensional consolidation tests; however, field evidence shows that the rate of heave is usually faster than predicted. A study of 43 building sites found that the field heave amounted to about one-third the computed heave. Where excavations are large and are open for substantial time before significant foundation loadings are applied, the
actual heave may be close to the computed heave. Figure 2 is a plot of a series of field results of heave versus excavation depth, in which the heave increases sharply with the depth of excavation.

3.5 THE YIELDING OF THE EXCAVATION BOTTOM can be caused by high artesian water pressures under the excavation or by a bearing capacity failure resulting from the overburden pressure on the soil outside the excavation at subgrade elevation. Artesian pressure can be relieved by cutoffs and dewatering of the underlying aquifer using deep wells. The pumped water may be put back in the aquifer using recharge wells outside the excavation perimeter to avoid perimeter settlements or to preserve the groundwater table for environmental reasons, but this operation is not simple and should be done only when necessary.

3.6 THE LIKELIHOOD OF BEARING CAPACITY FAILURE exists primarily in clayey soils and should be analyzed using methods available in the technical literature. A factor of safety, Fs > 2, should ideally be obtained to minimize yielding and possible settlement problems. A large plastic flow may cause the bottom of the excavation to move upwards with re-suiting loss of ground. To avoid this possibility, investigate:

- Potential for plastic flow, i.e., relationship between shear stress and shear strength.
- Sequence of placing wall bracing.
- Depth of penetration of sheeting below base of excavation.

3.7 TWO COMMONLY USED PROCEDURES to control bottom heave are dewatering and sequential excavation of the final 5 feet or more of soil. Groundwater lowering increases effective stresses and may reduce heave. Where subsoil permeabilities are not large, a deep and economical lowering of the groundwater to minimize heave can sometimes be achieved by an educator-type wellpoint system. Permitting a controlled rise of the groundwater level as the building load is applied acts to reduce effective stresses and counteracts the effect of the added building load. Sequential excavation is
accomplished by removing soil to final grade via a series of successive trenches. As each trench is opened, the foundation element is poured before any adjacent trench is opened. This procedure recognizes the fact that more heave occurs in the later excavation stages than in earlier stages and is frequently used in shales.

3.8 THE TILTING OF A COMPENSATED FOUNDATION can occur if structural loads are not symmetrical or if soil conditions are nonuniform.
Figure 1
Effect of pore pressure dissipation during excavation and settlement response

Tilting can be estimated from settlement calculations for different locations of the excavation. Control of tilt is not generally necessary but can be provided by piles or piers, if required. Bearing capacity is not usually important unless the building is partially
compensated and founded on clay. The factor of safety against bearing failure is calculated and compared with the final total soil stress using the building load, \( q_0 \), less the excavation stress as follows:

\[
FS = \frac{q_{ult}}{q_0 - \gamma D} \tag{eq 1}
\]

The factor of safety should be between 2.5 and 3.0 for dead load plus normal live load.

3.9 SETTLEMENT ADJACENT TO EXCAVATIONS depends on the soil type and the excavation support system method employed. With properly installed strutted or anchored excavations in cohesionless soils, settlement will generally be less than 0.5 percent of excavation depth. Loss of ground due to uncontrolled seepage or densification of loose cohesionless soils will result in larger settlements. Surface settlements adjacent to open cuts in soft to firm clay will occur because of lateral yielding and movement of soil beneath the bottom of the cut. Figure 3 can be used to estimate the magnitude and extent of settlement.
4. UNDERPINNING.

4.1 STRUCTURES SUPPORTED BY SHALLOW FOUNDATIONS or short piles may have to be underpinned if located near an excavation. Techniques for underpinning are depicted in figure 4. The most widely accepted methods are jacked down piles or piers, which have the advantage of forming positive contact with the building foundation since both can be prestressed. The use of drilled piers is of more recent vintage and is more economical where it can be used. In sandy soils, chemical injection stabilization may be used to underpin structures by forming a zone of hardened soil to support the foundation.

4.2 IN CARRYING OUT AN UNDERPINNING OPERATION, important points to observe include the following:

- Pits opened under the building must be as small as possible, and survey monitoring of the building must be carried out in the areas of each pit to determine if damaging movements are occurring.
- Care must be taken to prevent significant lifting of local areas of the building during jacking.
- Concrete in piers must be allowed to set before any loading is applied.
- Chemically stabilized sands must not be subject to creep under constant load.

4.3 THE DECISION TO UNDERPIN is a difficult one because it is hard to estimate how much settlement a building can actually undergo before being damaged.
Figure 2

Excavation rebound versus excavation depth
Figure 3

Probable settlements adjacent to open cuts

ZONE I - SAND AND SOFT TO HARD CLAY, ($s_u > 500$ LB/SQ FT)

ZONE II - VERY SOFT TO SOFT CLAY ($s_u < 500$ LB/SQ FT)
   1) - LIMITED DEPTH OF CLAY BELOW BASE OF EXCAVATION
   2) - SIGNIFICANT DEPTH OF CLAY BELOW BASE OF EXCAVATION WHERE $\frac{\gamma H}{s_u} < 5$

ZONE III - VERY SOFT TO SOFT CLAY, ($s_u < 500$ LB/SQ FT)
   1) - SIGNIFICANT DEPTH OF CLAY BELOW BASE OF EXCAVATION AND WHERE $\frac{\gamma H}{s_u} \geq 5$
5. EXCAVATION PROTECTION. During foundation construction, it is important that excavation subsoils be protected against deterioration as a result of exposure to the elements and heavy equipment. Difficulties can occur as a result of slaking, swelling, and piping of the excavation soils. Also, special classes of soils can collapse upon wetting. Methods for protecting an excavation are described in detail in table 1 along with procedures for identifying problem soils. If these measures are not carried out, soils likely will be subject to a loss of integrity and subsequent foundation performance will be impaired.
Figure 4

Methods of underpinning
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Identification</th>
<th>Problems and Mechanism</th>
<th>Preventative Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overconsolidated clays near plastic limit</td>
<td>(1) Stiff plastic clays, natural water content heave at excavation center (2) See figure 3-14 for swelling potential</td>
<td>(1) One dimensional heave, maximum around excavation center (2) Swelling dependent on plasticity (3) Usually fractured and fissured. Excavation opens these, causing softening and strength loss</td>
<td>(1) Rapid collection of surface water, or grading (2) Deep pressure relief to minimize rebound (3) Place 4&quot; - 6&quot; working mat of lean concrete immediately after exposing subgrade (mat may be placed over underseepage and pressure relief systems placed in sand blanket) (4) If sloped walls, use asphalt sealer on vertical walls, burlap with rubber sheeting or other membrane on flatter slopes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemically inert, uncedentated claystone or shale</td>
<td>(1) Lab testing (2) Soil and geologic maps (3) Local experience</td>
<td>(1) Swelling, slaking and strength loss if water infiltration (2) Rebound if overconsolidated (generally the case) (3) Cracking if dry or evaporation allowed</td>
<td>(1) Protect from wetting and drying by limiting area open at subgrade (2) Concrete working mat (3) Paving, impervious materials to avoid water infiltration; place sealing coats immediately after exposure (4) Reduce evaporation and drying to prevent swelling on resaturation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
Excavation protection
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Identification</th>
<th>Problems and Mechanism</th>
<th>Preventative Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>(1) Geologic maps and local experience</td>
<td>Open cavities and cav-ems; soft infilling in joints, cavities. Dewatering can cause cavity collapse or settlements of infilling materials</td>
<td>(1) Avoid infiltration; collect surface runoff and convey it to a point where its infiltration will not affect excavation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Borings and soundings to determine cavity locations</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Eliminate leaks from utility or industrial piping and provide for inspection to avoid infiltration continuing after correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Avoid pumping which causes downward seepage or recharging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collapsing soils (primarily limestones and volcanic ash)</td>
<td>(1) See figure 3-16, ( Y_s ) vs W, plot</td>
<td>(1) When infiltrated with water, sudden decrease in bulk volume; may or may not require loading in conjunction with seepage</td>
<td>(1) Drainage and collection system to avoid water infiltration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) ( Y_s &lt; 85 ) PCF</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Preload excavation area, and pond with water to cause collapse prior to excavation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Large open &quot;structure&quot; with temporary source of strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(4) Liquid limit &lt; 45% plasticity index 0-25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5) Natural water content well below 100% saturation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(6) Optimum water content for collapse between 13-39%(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft, normally consolidated or sensitive clays</td>
<td>(1) Recently deposited, or no geologic loading and unloading</td>
<td>(1) Primarily low strength, unable to support const. equipment</td>
<td>Provide support, and prevent remolding: place timber beams under heavy equipment; cover excavation bottom with 1' - 2' of sand and gravel fill and/or 6' - 8' of lean concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Leached marine clays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) Natural water content near or above liquid limit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 (continued)

Excavation protection
6. DRILLED PIERS. Drilled piers (also drilled shafts or drilled caissons) are often more economical than piles where equipment capable of rapid drilling is readily available, because of the large capacity of a pier as compared with a pile.

6.1 PIER DIMENSION AND CAPACITIES. Drilled piers can support large axial loads, up to 4,000 kips or more, although typical design loads are on the order of 600 to 1,000 kips. In addition, drilled piers are used under lightly loaded structures where subsoils might cause building heaving. Shaft diameters for high-capacity piers are available as follows:

- From 2-1/2 feet: by 6-inch increments
- From 5 feet: by 1-foot increments

Also available are 15- and 2-foot-diameter shafts. Commonly, the maximum diameter of drilled piers is under 10 feet with a 3- to 5-foot diameter very common. Drilled piers can be belled to a maximum bell size of three times the shaft diameter. The bells may be hemispherical or sloped. Drilled piers can be formed to a maximum depth of about 200 feet. Low capacity drilled piers may have shafts only 12 to 18 inches in diameter and may not be underreamed.

6.2 INSTALLATION. The drilled pier is constructed by drilling the hole to the desired depth, belling if increased bearing capacity or uplift resistance is required, placing necessary reinforcement, and filling the cavity with concrete as soon as possible after the hole is drilled. The quantity of concrete should be measured to ensure that the hole has been completely filled. Reinforcement may not be necessary for vertical loads; however, it will always be required if the pier carries lateral loads. A minimum number of dowels will be required for unreinforced piers to tie the superstructure to the pier. Reinforcement should be used only if necessary since it is a construction obstruction. Consideration should be given to an increased shaft diameter or higher strength.
concrete in lieu of reinforcement. In caving soils and depending on local experience, the shaft is advanced by:

**6.2.1 DRILLING A SOMEWHAT OVERSIZE HOLE AND ADVANCING THE CASING WITH SHAFT ADVANCE.** Casing may be used to prevent groundwater from entering the shaft. When drilling and underreaming is completed, the reinforcing steel is placed, and concrete is placed immediately. The casing may be left in place or withdrawn while simultaneously maintaining a head of concrete. If the casing is withdrawn, the potential exists for voids to be formed in the concrete, and special attention should be given to the volume of concrete poured.

**6.2.2 USE OF DRILLING MUD TO MAINTAIN THE SHAFT CAVITY.** Drilling mud may be used also to prevent water from entering the shaft by maintaining a positive head differential in the shaft, since the drilling fluid has a higher density than water. The reinforcing steel can be placed in the slurry-filled hole. Place concrete by tremie.

**6.2.3 USE OF DRILLING MUD AND CASING.** The shaft is drilled using drilling mud, the casing is placed, and the drilling mud is bailed. Core barrels and other special drilling tools are available to socket the pier shaft into bedrock. With a good operator and a drill in good shape, it is possible to place 30- to 36-inch cores into solid rock at a rate of 2 to 3 feet per hour. Underreams are either hemispherical or 30- or 45-degree bell slopes. Underreaming is possible only in cohesive soils such that the underslope can stand without casing support, as no practical means currently exists to case the bell.

**6.3 ESTIMATING THE LOAD CAPACITY OF A DRILLED PIER.** Estimate the ultimate capacity, $Q$, of a drilled pier as follows:

$$ Q_u = Q_{us} (\text{skin resistance}) + Q_{up} (\text{point}) $$  \hspace{1cm} (eq 2)

The design load based on an estimated 1-inch settlement is:
\[ Q_d = Q_{us} + \frac{Q_{up}}{3} \quad (eq \ 3) \]

### 6.3.1 DRILLED PIERS IN COHESIVE SOIL.

The skin resistance can be computed from the follow:

\[
Q_{us} = \alpha_{avg} \int_{0}^{H} C \ c_z \ dz
\]

where

- \( \alpha_{avg} \) = factor from table 2
- \( H \) = shaft length
- \( C \) = shaft circumference
- \( c_z \) = undrained shear strength at depth \( z \)  \( (eq \ 4) \)

Use table 2 for the length of shaft to be considered in computing \( H \) and for limiting values of side shear. The base resistance can be computed from the following:

\[ Q_{up} = N_c \ c_B \ A_B \quad (eq \ 5) \]

Where

- \( N_c \) = bearing capacity factor of 9 (table 2)
- \( c_B \) = undrained shear strength for distance of two diameters below tip
- \( A_B \) = base area

### 6.3.2 DRILLED PIERS IN SAND.

Compute skin resistance from the following:
$Q_{us} = \alpha_{avg} C \int_{0}^{H} P_{z} \tan \phi \, dz$

where

$\alpha_{avg} = 0.7$, for shaft lengths less than 25 feet

$\alpha_{avg} = 0.6$, for shaft lengths between 25 and 40 feet

$\alpha_{avg} = 0.5$, for shaft lengths more than 40 feet

(eq 6)
Table 2
Design parameters for drilled piers in clay

\[ Q_{up} = (A/0.6B)(q_t) = 1.31 \, Bq_t \]  
(eq 7)

where

\[ A_B = \text{base area} \]
B = base diameter

$q_i = 0$ for loose sand

$q_i = 32,000$ pounds per square foot for medium sand

$q_i = 80,000$ pounds per square foot for dense sand
7. FOUNDATION -SELECTION CONSIDERATIONS.

Selection of an appropriate foundation depends upon the structure function, soil and groundwater conditions, construction schedules, construction economy, value of basement area, and other factors. On the basis of preliminary information concerning the purpose of the structure, foundation loads, and subsurface soil conditions, evaluate alternative types of foundations for the bearing capacity and total and differential settlements. Some foundation alternatives for different subsoil conditions are summarized in table 3.

7.1 SOME FOUNDATION ALTERNATIVES may not be initially obvious. For example, preliminary plans may not provide for a basement, but when cost studies show that a basement permits a floating foundation that reduces consolidation settlements at little or no increase in construction cost, or even at a cost reduction, the value of a basement may be substantial. Benefits of basement areas include needed garage space, office or storage space, and space for air conditioning and other equipment. The last item otherwise may require valuable building space or disfigure a roofline.

7.2 WHILE MAT FOUNDATIONS are more expensive to design than individual spread footings, they usually result in considerable cost reduction, provided the total area of spread footings is a large percentage of the basement area. Mat foundations may decrease the required excavation area, compared with spread footings.

7.3 THE most promising foundation types should be designed, in a preliminary manner, for detailed cost comparisons. Carry these designs far enough to determine the approximate size of footings, length and number of piles required, etc. Estimate the magnitude of differential and total foundation movements and the effect on structure. The behavior of similar foundation types in the area should be ascertained.
7.4 **FINAL FOUNDATION DESIGN** should not be started until alternative types have been evaluated. Also, the effect of subsurface conditions (bearing capacity and settlement) on each alternative should be at least qualitatively evaluated.

7.5 **A CHECKLIST OF FACTORS** that could influence foundation selection for family housing is shown in table 4.


Table 3
Foundation Possibilities for Different Subsoil Conditions
Table 4
Checklist for Influence of Site Characteristics on Foundation Selection for Residential Housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Characteristics</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Foundations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Post</td>
<td>Spread</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Ground</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling</td>
<td>Cut and fill</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilly</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilly</td>
<td>Cut and fill</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Requires temporary lowering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footing level below footing level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW, GP, GM, GC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW, SP, SM, SC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML, CL, OL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>3, 4, 5, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH, CH, OH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> 1. Compaction control - increase density if required, use compaction control in fills.
2. Check relative density of cohesionless (GW, GP, SW, SP) soils; generally based on standard penetration resistance.
3. Use undrained shear strength, \( s_u \), to estimate bearing capacity and stress ratios for slab design.
4. Check if settlement is a problem.
5. Check liquidity index as indication of normally or preconsolidated clay.
6. Check expansive properties.